UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,437	12/22/2005	Reinhard Kupfer	WSP232US	9119
24041 SIMPSON & S	7590 05/24/2007 SIMPSON PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC 5555 MAIN STREET			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
WILLIAMSVI	LLE, NY 14221-5406		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3742	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,437	KUPFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel L. Robinson	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 19-39 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 19-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Profesorous's Potent Province Review (PTO 948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

## Response to Amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, 19-22, 26-33-34, 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yano et al. (U.S.Pat.6, 167, 681). With regard to claims 26-28 and 34 the size of the given elements is not germane to patentability *In re Rose, 105 USPQ* 237 (CCPA 1955).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano in view of Palmqvist et al. (U.S.Pat.6,725,634). Yano discloses a sealing apparatus that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a PEEK pressure element. Palmqvist discloses a counterrail and counter element in a sealing apparatus and method of manufacturing that shows using a PEEK pressure element. It

would have been obvious at the time of the claimed invention to use a PEEK pressure element as taught by Palmqvist with the device of Yano to apply pressure and electrical insulation.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano in view of Kistner et al.(U.S.Pat.6,333,471). Yano discloses a sealing apparatus that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a ceramic pressure element. Kistner discloses a combined superplastic forming and adhesive bonding system that shows a ceramic pressure member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a ceramic pressure member, as taught by Kistner, with the device of Yano for rigidity.

Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano in view of Hilmersson et al.(U.S.Pat.4,704,509). Yano discloses a sealing apparatus that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a non-conductive and/or non-magnetic material surrounding a heating device. Hilmersson discloses an induction apparatus and method for sealing of thermoplastic

material tha tshows a heating device surrounded as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to surround the heating device so as to fuse a thermoplastic packaging material.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mazzetto, Lawecki, Konno, Konno'392, Freed and Sano are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invention.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3-2-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that neither Palmquist of Kisner show a pressure element arranged such that it meets a fold line. Please note that Yano discloses a crease line impressed in a material and also not it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a pressure element to impress a fold line or crease line in a material so as to make a container to hold a liquid.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr

DANIEL ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6